



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,727	06/27/2001	Scott Swix	60027.0018US01/BS01040	4789
39262 MERCHANT A	39262 7590 08/13/2007 MERCHANT & GOULD BELLSOUTH CORPORATION		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2903			AUSTIN, SHELTON W	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	08/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/892.727 SWIX ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2623 **Christopher Grant** All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Shelton Austin. (3) Alton Hornsby III. (2) Christopher Grant. Date of Interview: 08 August 2007. Type: a) $\boxtimes$ Telephonic b) $\square$ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Middeke et al. and Herrbach. Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. f N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's argument's with respect to claim 1 does not appear to overcome the art rejection of record. In particular, the claimed "removing" is broad enough to read on "cleanup" because removing is interpreted as moving from point a to point b and not necessarily trashing something. Proposed new claim 37 appears to overcome the art rejection of record. However, further search and considered would be required. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. CHRISTOPHER GRANT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required